

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/698,441	KISHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Abbas I Abdulselam	2674	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Abbas I Abdulselam. (3) _____.

(2) Luo. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 06 October 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,4,8 and 15-20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Stas et al. (USPN 6025869), Burrell (USPN 6411502), Morioka et al. (USPN 5850076).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner agrees that the proposed amendment overcomes the references applied. Upon the submission of the amendment, the rejection will be withdrawn and a new office action will be provided.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required